Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)
	09/147,801	NIKLASSON, BO
	Examiner	Art Unit
	Mary E. Mosher, Ph.D.	1648
All Participants:	Status of Application: Amended	
(1) Mary E. Mosher, Ph.D.	(3)	
(2) Connie Wong.	(4)	
Date of Interview: <u>A February 2005</u>	Time:	
Type of Interview: ☐ Telephonic ☐ Video Conference ☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative) Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☐ No If Yes, provide a brief description: Fax of proposed exr's amendment (attached)t.		
Part I.		
Rejection(s) discussed:	*	
Claims discussed: proposed new claims		
Prior art documents discussed: none		
Part II.		
SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED: See Continuation Sheet		
Part III.		
 It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability. It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above. 		
MARY E. MOSHER PRIMARY EXAMINER (60) GROUP 1880		
(Examiner/SPE Signature) (Applicant	t/Applicant's Representative Si	gnature – if appropriate)

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: MM drafted allowable new claims & reasons for allowance, faxed to applicant's representative for consideration. CW contacted clients and obtained approval. Since CW was not attorney of record and did not give registartion number, MM indicated that attorney of record Jane Gunnison would need to call & indicate approval of examiner's amendment. Subsequent call to Ms. Gunnison's office was not returned.